

Property of $\sigma(600)$ and Chiral Symmetry

Muneyuki ISHIDA

Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113

(Received March 7, 1996; Revised August 20, 1996)

The iso-singlet scalar resonance $\sigma(600)$, found in the recent $\pi\pi$ phase shift analysis, is pointed out to have the properties of the σ meson in the σ model. The role of the $\lambda\phi^4$ interaction as a source of the “background phase”, which was essential there, is also discussed.

The chiral symmetry and the notion of its dynamical breaking have been playing a central role in understanding the spectroscopy of hadrons with light-quarks. Presently the non-linear representation (Non-Linear σ Model(NL σ M)) of chiral $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ group is widely accepted to be realized in nature on the basis of the success of low energy theorems concerning π mesons as Nambu-Goldstone bosons.

In the simplest linear representation¹⁾ (Linear σ Model(L σ M)) of the chiral symmetry, an iso-singlet scalar particle, as a chiral partner of π , the σ meson, is required to exist in the effective Lagrangian. Both L σ M and NL σ M satisfy the current algebra and PCAC, which lead to the same low-energy $\pi\pi$ scattering amplitude $A(s, t, u)$ in the $O(p^2)$ level of the former in the tree-approximation (of the latter).

The possible existence of a rather light σ meson has been inferred from various points of view.^{2)~11)} However, experimentally its existence has not been accepted so far, mainly due to the negative results of extensive analyses^{12), 13)} of the $\pi\pi$ scattering phase shift. The reason against its existence is that the $I = 0$ S -wave $\pi\pi$ phase shift δ_0^0 reaches only 270° at $m_{\pi\pi} \sim 1200\text{MeV}$, which was considered^{*)} to be due mainly to the narrow $f_0(980)$ and the broad $f_0(1370)$, (leaving no room for light σ particle). In contrast with this conventional interpretation, the existence of a σ resonance has been inferred in a recent reanalysis¹⁴⁾ (referred to as I) of δ_0^0 . The obtained values^{**)} of the resonance parameters are

$$m_\sigma = 535 \sim 650\text{MeV}, \quad g_\sigma = 1.3 \sim 1.6\text{GeV}, \quad (1)$$

where g_σ is defined by $\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = -g_\sigma \sigma \pi^2$. The most crucial point of obtaining this result is that there was an introduction of a negative background phase of the form $\delta^{BG} = -p_1 r_c$ (p_1 being the CM pion momentum), analogous to the case of the nuclear force, which implies a repulsive “hard-core type” interaction between pions. The obtained value of r_c is

$$r_c = 0.6\text{fm}(3.0\text{GeV}^{-1}) \sim 1.1\text{fm}(5.4\text{GeV}^{-1}). \quad (2)$$

In this paper a comment is given on the above properties of $\sigma(600)$ and of δ^{BG}

^{*)} However, in the newest edition of Particle Data Group'96, the behavior of δ_0^0 is understood as due to, in addition to the $f_0(980)$ and $f_0(1370)$, a very broad $f_0(400 \sim 1200)$.

^{**) We use the values given in the revised version of I (private communication).}

in relation to the chiral symmetry. The effective Lagrangian of $L\sigma M$ ¹⁾ is given by

$$\mathcal{L}^{L\sigma M} = 1/2[(\partial_\mu \phi)^2 - m_\pi^2 \phi^2 + (\partial_\mu \sigma')^2 - m_\sigma^2 \sigma'^2] - g_\sigma \sigma'(\phi^2 + \sigma'^2) - \lambda/4(\phi^2 + \sigma'^2)^2, \\ g_\sigma \equiv (m_\sigma^2 - m_\pi^2)/(2f_\pi), \quad \lambda \equiv (m_\sigma^2 - m_\pi^2)/(2f_\pi^2). \quad (3)$$

in terms of the physical σ' field redefined by $\sigma = f_\pi + \sigma'$, corresponding to the spontaneous breakdown of chiral symmetry. In this “linear form” of $L\sigma M$ the $\sigma\pi\pi$ coupling is of a non-derivative type, and the $\pi\pi$ -scattering amplitude $A(s, t, u)$ is given by $A^{L\sigma M}(s, t, u) = (-2g_{\sigma\pi\pi})^2/(m_\sigma^2 - s) - 2\lambda$.

As was mentioned, the $A^{L\sigma M}(s, t, u)$ reduces, due to Eq.(3), to the amplitude of $NL\sigma M$, $A^{NL\sigma M}(s, t, u) = (s - m_\pi^2)/f_\pi^2$ in the $O(p^2)$ approximation. Here it is seen that, to $O(p^0)$, the first term of $A^{L\sigma M}(s, t, u)$, representing the strong attractive force proportional to m_σ^2 due to virtual σ production, exactly cancels the second term due to the repulsive $\lambda\phi^4$ interaction. This result is obtained directly if we start from the “non-linear form” of $L\sigma M$, where the transformed fields $\tilde{\sigma}$ and $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ have an interaction of a pure derivative type.

In I, the S matrix is represented directly through Breit-Wigner resonance formula for σ interacting with non-derivative type,^{*)} and the amplitude ought to be compared with that in linear form of $L\sigma M$ with non-derivative type interaction.

Substituting the value of m_σ in Eq.(1) together with $(m_\pi, f_\pi) = (140, 93)\text{MeV}$ into Eq.(3), we obtain the theoretical values of g_σ and λ as

$$g_\sigma^{\text{th.}} = 1.4 \sim 2.2\text{GeV}, \quad \lambda^{\text{th.}} = 16 \sim 23, \quad (4)$$

of which the value g_σ is very close to the experimental value Eq.(1).

The $\lambda\phi^4$ term in $\mathcal{L}^{L\sigma M}$ represents a repulsive and contact short-range interaction between pions and seems to have a plausible property as an origin of δ^{BG} in the small $\sqrt{s}$ -region. The relevant $I=0$ amplitude consists of a direct one, $A^{L\sigma M}(s, t, u)$, and of its crossing terms. The crossing terms have a weak s -dependence and almost vanish in the low energy region. Thus the first(second) term in $A^{L\sigma M}(s, t, u)$ itself may be directly compared to the phenomenological amplitude of virtual σ production(background) in I, respectively. The corresponding background amplitude due to the $\lambda\phi^4$ interaction, unitarized following the $\mathcal{K}$ -matrix method, gives $\delta_{\text{th.}}^{\text{BG}} = -\arctan 3\rho_1\lambda$, which simulates well the behavior of the $\delta_{\text{core}}^{\text{BG}} = -p_1 r_c$ in the small $\sqrt{s}$ -region. Then the value of r_c , Eq.(2), corresponds to the experimental value of λ ,

$$\lambda_{\text{exp}} = (p_1/3\rho_1)\sqrt{s-2m_\pi}r_c = 16\pi m_\pi/3r_c = 7 \sim 13, \quad (5)$$

which is only in qualitative agreement with the theoretical value Eq.(4b).

From the above considerations it is concluded that the observed properties of σ (Eq.(1)) in I are consistent with those predicted in the tree-approximation of $L\sigma M$, although some discrepancy exists concerning the $\lambda\phi^4$ term as a repulsive BG force.

*) In connection to this point, it may be notable that out of many phenomenological analyses, the ones^{12), 13)} against the σ -existence seem to overlook the repulsive background, while the ones^{6) ~ 9)} suggesting its existence take it into account explicitly or implicitly, as in our case.

Finally some remarks are added on the related works or problems: The existence of σ meson as a chiral partner of π meson has been strongly suggested for many years in various works based on the NJL model or its extended version^{2)~4)}. It is notable that the experimental value of m_σ , Eq.(1), obtained in I is consistent with the predicted one, $m_\sigma = 2m_q$, in the NJL model. In addition to this, the above result of this paper seems^{*)} to suggest the validity of a linear representation of the σ model. Historically, however, the $L\sigma M$, as a low energy effective theory of hadrons, has been rejected mainly from the analyses on the scattering lengths of all relevant Iso-spin and orbital momentum channels and on the K_{l4} decay form factors.¹⁶⁾ Corresponding to this situation I have made^{**)} reanalysis of the $\pi\pi$ scattering lengths with tree approximation (or in large N_c limit) in $L\sigma M$, including both effects of ρ - and σ -exchange, and found rather consistent results with experiments (except for a_2^2 , being somewhat larger than the experiments). The relevant a_0^0 is predicted to be $a_0^0 = 0.16 + 0.04$, where the correction of higher than $O(p^4)$ (the second term) makes^{***)} the $O(p^2)$ value(the first term) closer to the experimental value $a_0^0 = 0.26 \pm 0.05$.¹⁵⁾ Needless to say, to be definitive, a more extensive investigation is necessary.

I would like to thank Professor K. Fujikawa and Professor K. Higashijima for instructive discussions. I am grateful to Professor T. Yanagida for useful comments and encouragements. I also thank Professor S. Ishida, T. Ishida and H. Takahashi for many helpful suggestions and discussions.

References

- [1] J. Schwinger, Ann. of Phys. **2** (1957) 407.
M. Gell-Mann and M. Lévi, Nuovo Cim. **16** (1960) 705.
- [2] R. Delbourgo and M.D. Scadron, Phys.Rev.Lett. **48** (1982) 379.
- [3] T. Hatsuda and T. Kunihiro, Prog.Theor.Phys. **74** (1985) 765; Phys. Rep. **247** (1994) 221.
- [4] Y. Kohyama, M. Takizawa et al., Phys.Lett. **B208** (1988) 165; Nucl.Phys. **A507** (1990) 617.
- [5] H. Shimizu, contributed paper on PANIC XIII, Perugia, Italy, June 1993.
- [6] J.L. Basdevant and B.W. Lee, Phys.Rev. **D2** (1970) 1680.
- [7] L.H. Chan and R.W. Haymaker, Phys.Rev. **D10** (1974) 4170;4143.
- [8] G. Mennessier, Z.Phys. **C16** (1983) 241; S. Minami, Prog.Theor.Phys. **81** (1989) 1064.
- [9] R. Kaminski et al., Phys.Rev. **D50** (1994) 3145, private communication.
- [10] T. Morozumi, C.S. Lim and A.I. Sanda, Phys.Rev.Lett. **65** (1990) 404.
- [11] T. Ishida et al., proceedings on HADRON '95, KEK-Preprint 95-159 (1995).
- [12] Y. Fujii and M. Fukugita, Nucl.Phys. **B85** (1975) 179.
- [13] K.L. Au, D. Morgan and M.R. Pennington, Phys.Rev. **D41** (1987) 1633.
- [14] S. Ishida, M.Y. Ishida, H. Takahashi, T. Ishida, K. Takamatsu and T. Tsuru, Prog.Theor.Phys. **95** (1996) 745.
- [15] M.N. Nagels et al., Nucl.Phys. **B147** (1979) ,189.

^{*)} From the conventional viewpoint of $NL\sigma M$ the existence of "general σ -particle", which has no relation with the chiral symmetry, is also permissible.¹⁸⁾ After submitting this paper the author was informed of a work in which similar analysis as in I, has been made from this line of thought.¹⁹⁾

^{**)} The results will be given elsewhere.

^{***)} Conventionally in $NL\sigma M$ similar amount of $O(p^4)$ correction is obtained which is argued to be mainly due to the ρ meson exchange.^{17), 18)} Here it should be noted that this effect does not occur in the tree level, and does only due to the scale-dependence of the coefficients. However, such a large scale-dependence cannot be expected if the σ exists with fairly lower mass and larger width than ρ .

- [16] J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, Ann. of Phys. **158** (1984) 142, Nucl.Phys. **B250** (1985) 465 .
- [17] J.F. Donoghue, C. Ramirez and G. Valencia, Phys.Rev. **D39** (1989) 1947.
- [18] G. Ecker et.al., Nucl.Phys. **B321** (1989) 311; hep-ph/9608226.
- [19] M. Harada, F. Sannino and J. Schechter, Phys.Rev. **D54** (1996) 1991.